

**PATENT COOPERATION TREATY**

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

**PCT**  
**TRANSLATION**

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>SJ04006PCT--</b>		<b>FOR FURTHER ACTION</b> See paragraph 2 below
International application No. <b>PCT/JP2004/019412</b>	International filing date (day/month/year) <b>24.12.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>07.01.2004</b>
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant <b>SUMITOMO HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.</b>		

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:
- |                                     |              |  |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. I    | Basis of the opinion   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. II   | Priority   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. III  | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. IV   | Lack of unity of invention   |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | Box No. V    | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VI   | Certain documents cited  |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VII  | Certain defects in the international application   |
| <input type="checkbox"/>            | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application  |

2. **FURTHER ACTION**

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/JP2004/019412

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
  - a. type of material  
 a sequence listing  
 table(s) related to the sequence listing
  - b. format of material  
 in written format  
 in computer readable form
  - c. time of filing/furnishing  
 contained in the international application as filed.  
 filed together with the international application in computer readable form.  
 furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/JP2004/019412

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																									
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td>Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-10</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-10</td> <td>NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-10</td> <td>YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td>NO</td> </tr> </table>			Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES		Claims		NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES		Claims	1-10	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES																							
	Claims	1-10	NO																							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							
<p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: JP 2003-297538 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.), 17 October 2003      Document 2: JP 7-329138 A (Fanuc Ltd.), 19 December 1995      Document 3: JP 61-132319 A (Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd.), 19 June 1986      Document 4: JP 2001-328139 A (Miyaden Co. Ltd.), 27 November 2001      Document 5: JP 6-335954 A (Mitsuba Manufacturing Co. Ltd.), 06 December 1994      Document 6: JP 2001-204184 A (Sumitomo Heavy Industries Co. Ltd.), 27 July 2001      Document 7: JP 11-289793 A (Sumitomo Heavy Industries Co. Ltd.), 19 October 1999</p> <p>Claims 1-10</p> <p>Claims 1-10 do not appear to involve an inventive step over documents 1-7 cited in the ISR.</p> <p>It would be easy for a person skilled in the art to supply a current from a direct current power source portion to an inverter and to control a servo motor with the inverter as in documents 2-3 in an electromagnetic induction heating device having a heating unit for heating a heating cylinder and an inverter device for supplying a high-frequency current to the heating unit of document 1. It would also be easy for a person skilled in the art to perform frequency control between a few hertz and tens of hertz as in documents 4-5, to provide a switch mechanism to the input side of the inverter as in document 6, and to provide a circuit for regulating the voltage on the input side of the inverter as in document 7.</p>																										

# PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

**PCT**  
**TRANSLATION**

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>SJ04006PCT--</b>		Date of mailing (day/month/year)	
International application No. <b>PCT/JP2004/019412</b>		International filing date (day/month/year) <b>24.12.2004</b>	Priority date (day/month/year) <b>07.01.2004</b>
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC			
Applicant <b>SUMITOMO HEAVY INDUSTRIES, LTD.</b>			

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <table style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> <td style="width: 15px; text-align: center; padding: 2px;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. I</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Basis of the opinion</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. II</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Priority</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. III</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. IV</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Lack of unity of invention</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. V</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VI</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Certain documents cited</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VII</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Certain defects in the international application</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Box No. VIII</td> <td colspan="12" style="text-align: left;">Certain observations on the international application</td> </tr> </table>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Box No. I	Basis of the opinion													Box No. II	Priority													Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability													Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention													Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement													Box No. VI	Certain documents cited													Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application													Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application											
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>																																																																																																																	
	Box No. I	Basis of the opinion																																																																																																																												
	Box No. II	Priority																																																																																																																												
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability																																																																																																																												
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention																																																																																																																												
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																																																																																																																												
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited																																																																																																																												
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application																																																																																																																												
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application																																																																																																																												
<p>2. <b>FURTHER ACTION</b></p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																																																														
<p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>																																																																																																																														

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019412

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language

\_\_\_\_\_ which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).

2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:

a. type of material

a sequence listing

table(s) related to the sequence listing

b. format of material

in written format

in computer readable form

c. time of filing/furnishing

contained in the international application as filed.

filed together with the international application in computer readable form

furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.

3.  In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE  
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.  
PCT/JP2004/019412

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	1-10
		YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	
		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10
		YES
	Claims	
		NO
2. Citations and explanations:		
<p>Document 1: JP 2003-297538 A (Matsushita Electric Industrial Co. Ltd.), 17 October 2003          Document 2: JP 7-329138 A (Fanuc Ltd.), 19 December 1995          Document 3: JP 61-132319 A (Sanyo Electric Co. Ltd.), 19 June 1986          Document 4: JP 2001-328139 A (Miyaden Co. Ltd.), 27 November 2001          Document 5: JP 6-335954 A (Mitsuba Manufacturing Co. Ltd.), 06 December 1994          Document 6: JP 2001-204184 A (Sumitomo Heavy Industries Co. Ltd.), 27 July 2001          Document 7: JP 11-289793 A (Sumitomo Heavy Industries Co. Ltd.), 19 October 1999</p>		
Claims 1-10		
<p>Claims 1-10 do not appear to involve an inventive step over documents 1-7 cited in the ISR.</p> <p>It would be easy for a person skilled in the art to supply a current from a direct current power source portion to an inverter and to control a servo motor with the inverter as in documents 2-3 in an electromagnetic induction heating device having a heating unit for heating a heating cylinder and an inverter device for supplying a high-frequency current to the heating unit of document 1. It would also be easy for a person skilled in the art to perform frequency control between a few hertz and tens of hertz as in documents 4-5, to provide a switch mechanism to the input side of the inverter as in document 6, and to provide a circuit for regulating the voltage on the input side of the inverter as in document 7.</p>		